# Analysis of Gene Essentiality from TnSeq Data Using Transit

Thomas R. Ioerger Department of Computer Science Texas A&M University ioerger@cs.tamu.edu

December 22, 2020

#### Abstract

TnSeq, or sequencing of transposon-insertion libraries, has proven to be a valuable method for 5 probing the functions of genes in a wide range of bacteria. TnSeq has found many applications for 6 studying genes involved in core functions (such as cell division or metabolism), stress response, 7 virulence, etc., as well as to identify potential drug targets. Two of the most commonly used 8 transposons in practice are Himar1, which inserts randomly at TA dinucleotides, and Tn5, 9 which can insert more broadly throughout the genome. These insertions cause putative gene-10 function disruption, and clones with insertions in genes that cannot tolerate disruption (in a 11 given condition) are eliminated from the population. Deep-sequencing can be used to efficiently 12 profile the surviving members, with insertions in genes that can be inferred to be non-essential. 13 Data from TnSeq experiments (i.e. transposon insertion counts at specific genomic locations) is 14 inherently noisy, making rigorous statistical analysis (e.g. quantifying significance) challenging. 15 In this paper, we describe Transit, a Python-based software package for analyzing TnSeq data 16 that combines a variety of data processing tools, quality assessment methods, and analytical 17 algorithms for identifying essential (or conditionally essential) genes. 18

19

1

2

3

4

Keywords: essentiality, transposon mutagenesis, TnSeq, statistical analysis, software

# 20 1 Introduction

TnSeq, or sequencing of transposon-insertion libraries, has proven to be a valuable method for 21 probing the functions of genes in bacteria [1-3]. Libraries are generated by random insertion of 22 a transposon throughout a genome, creating a pool of mutants. DNA from bacteria grown in a 23 particular condition is extracted, the junctions between transposon and adjacent genomic region 24 are amplified by PCR, the samples are sequenced by high-throughput sequencing, and insertions 25 at individual sites are counted. The pattern of insertion counts can be used to infer the difference 26 between essential (ES) and non-essential (NE) regions; regions that tolerate insertions are generally 27 considered non-essential, while regions lacking insertions are taken as evidence of essentiality. In 28 addition to the binary distinction of essential vs non-essential, some genes can exhibit a partial 29 reduction of insertion counts, which can be interpreted as a growth defect (GD) caused by disruption 30 of the gene, and indeed quantitative changes in insertion counts in has been shown to reflect changes 31 in fitness E. coli [1]. There are several variations of sample preparation protocols currently in use, 32 going by names such as TraDIS [4], HITS [5], and InSeq [6], which differ based on the transposon 33 used, method of DNA fragmentation, and transposon junction amplification. More recently, Barseq 34 [7] has been introduced to exploit barcoding to afford a very high level of multiplexing on next-gen 35 sequencers, allowing the efficient analysis of hundreds of samples in parallel. 36

TnSeq has found many applications in many different bacteria, including E. coli, Mycobacteria. 37 Pseudomonas, Vibrio, Haemophilus, Salmonella, etc., to study genes invovled in metabolism, stress 38 response, virulence, etc. For example, TnSeq has been used to study genes required for metabo-39 lizing/utilizing specific nutrients such as cholesterol [24] or iron [33], tolerance of stress conditions 40 [37, 38], genetic interactions with knocked-out genes in libraries made from null mutants [39–41]. 41 and virulence in animal models [4, 5, 9, 42, 43]. TnSeq gives a very different read-out on gene func-42 tion than RNA-seq (transcriptomics), as essentiality of a gene is orthogonal to (i.e. not necessarily 43 correlated with) the level of expression [8]; one can change without the other. Gene essentiality is 44 especially useful for drug discovery efforts, such as interpreting mechanisms of resistance through 45 mutations found in resistant mutants and identifying vulnerable targets and pathways that are 46 critical for survival in vivo (during infection) [9]. Databases of essential genes, such as DEG [10]. 47 have been assembled from curated collections of published results of TnSeq analyses, along with 48 inferences of essential genes extended to other species by homology. 49

Two of the most commonly used transposons in practice are Himar1 [11, 12] and Tn5 [4, 13]. 50 While Himar1, a member of the mariner family, is restricted to inserting at TA dinucleotides [14]. 51 Tn5 can insert effectively at any site in the genome, which has consequences for statistical analysis. 52 The magnitude of counts at an individual site is highly dependent on the library, as insertion into 53 the chromosome is a stochastic process, which is a major factor contributing to variability. Although 54 the magnitude of insertion counts can vary significantly between adjacent TA sites in non-essential 55 regions, no strong sequence-dependent bias has yet been found for insertion of various transposons. 56 For Himar1, there is an apparent non-permissiveness of TA sites with a G at flanking positions 57 +/-2 bp, with G or C at +/-3 [44], and also a general preference for insertions in more bendable 58 regions of the DNA [45]). Similarly, only a generalized sequence preference has been identified for 59 Tn5, but it is insufficient to make insertion locations predictable [46]. Thus insertion counts are 60 usually treated as a random variable, and analysis methods rely on averaging over multiple TA 61 sites in a gene to make a statistical assessment of essentiality. Even a GC-rich organism like M. 62 tuberculosis has 3 or more TA sites for most genes (median is 13 TA sites; only 2.7% of genes have 63 less than 3 TA sites); analysis of genes with only 1-2 TA sites is typically not reliable (analysis 64 of short genes becomes highly dependent on the degree of saturation), and of course genes with 65 0 TA sites are unanalyzable by TnSeq. However, intergenic regions and ncRNAs are often short 66 enough to have only 0-2 TA sites, and are difficult to analyze using TnSeq. Many of the analytical 67 methods for Himar1 can be extended to Tn5 data, provided that the saturation is high enough and 68 the assuming the locations and magnitudes of insertions can effectively be treated as random [2]. 69 It is important to note that essential genes, which typically lack insertions throughout the body 70 of the ORF, have often been observed to tolerate insertions at the N- and C-termini, as well as in 71 linkers between domains, and can even contain non-essential domains, which also pose challenges 72 Some studies have observed large-scale chromosomal for rigorous identification of essentials. 73 biases, where mean insertion counts exhibit a trend based on position on the chromosome, such as 74 a gradation based on distance between the origin and termination of replication. Adjustments for 75 such biases can be made through methods such as the LOESS correction [47], which equalizes the 76 smoothed mean across the whole genome. 77

Data from TnSeq experiments (i.e. insertion counts) is inherently noisy, making rigorous statistical analysis challenging. Variability can come from a variety of sources, including representation (abundance) in the library, stochastic differences between identically-treated samples (plates, cultures, amimals), and the sequencing process. Reducing raw counts to template counts using barcodes can help ameliorate PCR jackpotting [15]. Loss of diversity (especially in animal infections) and amplification of fitness differences due to differences in growth time (generations) can also lead to significant artifacts such as skewing of read-count distributions [16]. Larger genes with more

TA sites ease this because the impact of isolated deviations (e.g. missing or outlier counts) can be 85 mitgated by averaging over multiple observations. Conversely, higher noise and lower saturation 86 can make statistical calls for smaller genes uncertain. Hence, normalization and rigorous statis-87 tical analysis are critical to identifying significant genes (e.g. essential or conditionally essential) 88 Also, collection of multiple replicates (2-3 replicates per sample is recommended) is important for 89 increasing statistical certainty through increasing the number of observations per gene. (Biological 90 replicates, i.e. samples from different plates or animals, are more important than technical repli-91 cates, i.e. resequencing, for giving a fair picture of stochastic variability of insertion counts, which 92 is critical assessing statistical confidence in observed differences.) Several software packages are 93 available for analysis of TnSeq data, each based on different theoretical framework: ESSENTIALS 94 [17], TnSeq-Explorer [18], TraDIS-Toolkit [19], TnSeqDiff [20] fitness ratios [21], ARTIST [22], and 95

96 others.

In this paper, we describe Transit [23], a Python-based software package for analyzing TnSeq 97 Transit combines implementations of a range of previously published statistical analysis data. 98 methods. Transit was originally designed for statistical analysis of Himar1 TnSeq datasets (in 99 which insertions are assumed to be restricted to TA sites), though some of the methods have 100 been adapted for Tn5 data (for which the typical lower saturation causes challenges). Transit 101 has a pre-processor (TPP) for extracting insertion counts from raw sequence (fastq) files that 102 encodes best practices accumulated from experience in multiple labs over the years. Transit also 103 incorporates tools for quality control (QC analysis) for assessing the quality of datasets. Transit 104 has a graphical user interface (GUI) to make it easy for users to to perform most tasks, though 105 this review will focus on running tasks from the command line. The methods are also accessible as 106 a Python library for programmers to call in their own scripts (the source code can be downloaded 107 from Github, https://github.com/mad-lab/transit). Further details can be found in the online 108

documentation, https://transit.readthedocs.io/en/latest/.

<sup>110</sup> The analytical tools in Transit are oriented around addressing three types of questions:

111 1. identifying essential genes in a single (e.g. reference) condition

112 2. comparative evaluation of conditional essentiality between two conditions

3. analysis of genes showing variability across multiple conditions

Each of these has different use cases. A common case of single-condition analysis might be assessing 114 essential genes and pathways in a new bacterial species. A common case of pairwise analysis might 115 be comparing essentiality in a stress condition, such as starvation, iron limitation, low pH, hypoxia, 116 antibiotic exposure, or growth in an animal model, compared to a reference condition, such as 117 growth on rich medium. However, recently, more complex TnSeq experiments are being conducted 118 involving multiple experimental variables/treatments, such as varying antibiotic concentrations, 119 varying durations (number of days or weeks in culture or in vivo), comparison of survival in different 120 animal breeds/species/genotypes, or supplementation with various nutrients, making tools for task 121 multi-condition analysis necessary to explore/characterize patterns of response over larger sets of 122 experimental conditions. This paper describes how to do these analyses using Transit, and includes 123 comments about the impact of (and guidelines on) data quality, file formats, and other practical 124 information. 125

# 126 2 Materials

Transit is designed to run on Linux, Macs, and Windows machines. Transit is written in python3, 127 and requires wxPvthon4, R, and many other packages as dependencies. Transit can be installed 128 in two ways: 1) via 'pip3 install', which will downloaded and install the compiled code from 129 the repository on PvPi along with all dependencies (so that it can be run directly from the 130 command line as 'transit'), or 2) by cloning the source code from GitHub, and then manu-131 ally installing the required packages (see Installation Instructions in the online documentation, 132 https://transit.readthedocs.io/en/latest/). The current version is 3.1.0 as of this writing; 133 for future changes, see the online documentation. Note that BWA must be installed for TPP, and 134 some of the more advanced functions (like ZINB) require R to be installed, along with some specific 135 packages. 136

In the sections below, we will give examples based on a study of gene requirements for growth of *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv on cholesterol compared to glycerol [24]. In this study, there are 5 TnSeq datasets collection, 2 for glycerol and 3 for cholestrol. For brevity, we will refer to these wig files as G1.wig, G2.wig, C1.wig, C2.wig, and C3.wig.

If installed from GitHub, running Transit would require command sequences like 'python3 \$TRANSITDIR/src/transit.py ...', where \$TRANSITDIR is path where Transit is installed. However, for simplicity, we will simply use the command 'transit' in the examples below.

Users can get help on most commands (e.g. reminders of arguments and flags) by running Transit without any arguments, or with '--help'.

Most of the analyses in Transit generate tab-separated output files as a convention, which can be opened as spreadsheets in Excel. Lines prefixed with '#' in the output files are comments.

All the input and output files for the examples in this chapter can be accessed online at:

149 http://orca1.tamu.edu/essentiality/transit/examples/index.html

### 150 3 Methods

### <sup>151</sup> 3.1 Pre-Processing (TPP)

Transit has a pre-processing step called TPP (Transit Pre-Processor) that maps reads from se-152 quencing a Tn library (files in .fastq or .fasta format) into a genome (.fasta format) and tabulates 153 insertion counts at TA sites. The counts are output in a file format called '.wig' files, which simply 154 have two values on each line - coordinate and insertion counts for each TA site. Wig files have two 155 header lines, the second of which indicates the name of the genome sequence that was used as a 156 reference ('variableStep chrom=H37Rv' indicating H37Rv.fna as the reference sequence, for exam-157 ple). In subsequent analyses, it is critical to use the annotation file corresponding to the genomes 158 sequence used in TPP, to ensure consistency of the coordinate system. 159

TPP uses BWA [25] (which must be installed separately) to map reads into the genome. Since 160 the reads represent junctions between the transposon and chromosome, the first step is identifying 161 reads (in read 1) with a prefix matching the terminus of the transposon, and the stripping this 162 prefix off to map the genomic suffix. Many protocols introduce random shifts in the location of the 163 prefix sequence within the reads, to reduce sequencing problems when all reads begin with the same 164 nucleotides. By default, TPP searches for a prefix corresponding to Himar1, but other transposons 165 can be accommodated by specifying the search sequence using the '-prefix' flag. Illumina sequencers 166 often provide pairs of reads, and while read 2 is not absolutely necessary for mapping reads (i.e. 167 optional), Sassetti et al. have shown how to embed random nucleotide barcodes in read 2 that can 168 be used by TPP to reduce raw read counts at each TA site to counts of unique DNA templates. 160

which helps reduce noise due to PCR jackpotting effects [15]. Because of the lengths of the prefix in read 1 and barcodes (and surrounding constant regions and genomic regions in read 2), it is recommended to use a read length of at least 75 bp (i.e. 75x75 bp paired-end sequencing). Various flags can be used to adjust the methods and parameters for mapping reads, such as number of mismatches allowed or constraints on location of prefix.

175 An example of running TPP is as follow:

```
176 > tpp -bwa /home/bwa/bwa-0.7.12/bwa -ref H37Rv.fna -reads1 G1_R1.fastq
177 -reads2 G1_R2.fastq -output G1
```

The inputs are the sequencing data (fastq files for read 1 and 2) and the reference genome sequence (H37Rv.fna, nucleotide fasta). Multiple intermediate files will be generated for the base filename given by -output. The primary output file would be the wig file, **G1.wig**, which contains the insertion counts at TA sites.

TPP can also map reads to genome sequences containing multiple contigs (or replicons), such as when an organism contains multiple chromosomes and/or plasmids. Separate reference sequences can be provides to TPP as a comma-separated list.

Another important output file from TPP is the .tn\_stats file that gets generated. It contains important parameters and diagnostics from the run. As a quick check, it is useful to examine the saturation (fraction of TA sites with insertions, ideally > 30%) and NZmean (mean over non-zero sites, ideally > 10). The .tn\_stats files also reports the total reads, number of reads mapped, and related statistics which can give insight into the degree of attrition and possible reasons for it (for example, whether reads lacked the expected prefix, mapped predominantly to a single site, or matched known sequences related to the transposon vector or primers).

### <sup>192</sup> 3.1.1 Making Combined Wig Files

When working with a large collection of datasets, it can be cumbersome to have to type multiple wig filenames as inputs to subsequent commands. In such cases, it is convenient to make a 'combined\_wig' file, which combines the counts at the same TA sites from multiple wig files on the same line (and appends on the ORF id and gene name from the annotation). To aid in comparing insertion counts between datasets, the counts are normalized using TTR normalization (by default, see below), though other normalization methods (including 'nonorm' which preserves raw counts) can be applied using the -n flag. The "#File:" header lines cocument the datasets that were combined.

```
200 > transit export combined_wig G1.wig,G2.wig,C1.wig,C2.wig,C3.wig H37Rv.prot_table
201 glyc_chol_combined_wig.txt # TTR norm is implicit
202
203 > transit export combined_wig G1.wig,G2.wig,C1.wig,C2.wig,C3.wig H37Rv.prot_table
204 glyc_chol_combined_wig_raw.txt -n nonorm
```

A recommended practice is to use the combined\_wig file for examining and comparing normalized insertion counts in a gene or locus that is indicated to be conditionally essential, to confirm whether the effect is genuine or perhaps influenced by a few outlier insertion counts.

The '.prot\_table' file contains the coordinates and annotations of genes in the genome (see Section 3.2.2 below).

It is often useful to reduce the data in each sample to the mean insertion counts for each gene, which can be done with the 'export mean\_counts' command:

```
212 > transit export mean_counts G1.wig,G2.wig,C1.wig,C2.wig,C3.wig H37Rv.prot_table
213 glyc_chol_gene_means.txt
```

```
The output file, glyc_chol_gene_means.txt can be opened as a spreadsheet.
```

An individual wig file may be normalized itself using the following command,

```
216 > transit normalize <input.wig> <output.wig> -n [method]
```

where 'method' is TTR, betageom, or several others (see documentation). Normalization can also be applied simultaneous to multiple datasets in a combined\_wig file by adding the '-c' flag before the filename.

### 220 3.1.2 Evaluting Quality of TnSeq Data

To summarize the statistics of multiple samples in a combined\_wig file, one may use the 'tnseq\_stats' command (given either a list of individual wig files, or a combined\_wig file):

```
223 > transit tnseq_stats G1.wig G2.wig C1.wig C2.wig C3.wig -o glyc_chol_tnseq_stats.txt
224 or
225 > transit tnseq_stats -c glyc_chol_combined_wig_raw.txt -o glyc_chol_tnseq_stats.txt
```

The output file is a tab-separated file that can be opened as a spreadsheet. It contains important information, including the saturation, total counts, mean, NZmean, and max count, for each dataset, along with several statistics on the read-count distribution (skewness, etc.). While the saturation will not change, it is best to run *tnseq\_stats* on a combined\_wig file to which no normalization has been applied ('-n nonorm'), since otherwise, the mean counts will be reflect the results of TTR normalization. If datasets with especially low saturation (e.g. < 15%) or low NZmean (e.g. <1) are observed, the researcher might consider excluding them from further analyses.

Users can assess the quality of the TnSeq datasets by generating and examining the tnseq\_stats 233 table mentioned above. The primary metrics are saturation and mean insertion count (specifically, 234 NZmean). While there are not rigorous criteria for defining "bad" datasets, rules of thumb I use for 235 "good" datasets are: density > 30% (ideally > 50%) and NZmean > 10 (ideally > 50). In addition, 236 I look at MaxReadCount and Skewness as indicators. Typically, MaxReadCount will be in the 237 range of a few thousand to tens-of-thousands. If you see individual sites with counts in the range 238 of  $10^5 - 10^6$ , it might mean you have some positive selection at a site (e.g. biological (fitness 230 advantage), or an artifiact due to things like PCR jackpotting), and this can have the effect of 240 reducing counts and influencing the distribution at all the other sites. If MaxReadCount<100, that 241 is also probably problematic (either not enough reads, or possibly skewing). Also, skewness>30 242 often (but not always) signals a problem. The reason it is not easy to boil all these down to a 243 simple set of criteria is that some some of the metrics interact with each other. 244

A useful tool when evaluating the quality of a collection of TnSeq datasets is to make a correlation plot of the mean insertion counts (averaged at the gene-level). While, it is difficult to state how much correlation there should be between conditions (or even between replicates of the same condition), the corrplot can often reveal individual samples which stand out as being far less correlated with all the others (which subsequently might be excluded from analyses).

250 > transit corrplot glyc\_chol\_combined.wig.txt glyc\_chol\_corrplot.png

Although saturation and NZmean are the easiest to assess, a more complicated aspect of data 251 quailty is the insertion-count distribution. Generally speaking, the number of TA sites with 252 low counts are most abundant, and sites with high counts are far less frequent. While it is not 253 theoretically guaranteed, well-behaved datasets typically conform closer to a geometric distribution 254 (though possibly with some excess dispersion, which could be modeled as a negative binomial). In 255 contrast, lower-quality datasets often exhibit a significant skew away from this distribution. Skewed 256 datasets are often more dominated by excessively high counts at a few sites, while the counts 257 at the vast majority of remaining sites are quite low by comparison. This can cause problems 258 with normalization; even though TTR is designed to be robust to a few outliers, it is only linear 259 transformation and cannot correct for skew in the data. This can lead to an inflation of apparently 260 differentially essential genes (artifacts) when skewed datasets are compared to other conditions. 261

The Transit GUI provides plots of the insertion-count distribution, including a QQ-plot (quantilequantile plot) against an ideal geometric, to visually assess the degree of skew. The closer to a diagonal, the better. Aside from 'skewness' as a metric itself, the tnseq\_stats table also report the Pickand's tail index [48]. Anecdotal experience suggests that dataset with PTI > 1.0 (and maybe even PTI > 0.5) are potentially problematic.

The causes of skew are (currently) not well understood, though, anecdotally, it often seems to be associated with loss of diverity (e.g. in animal-passeged samples) over 'over-selection' of libraries. For example, culturing too long under a stress condition can amplify the effects of even small fitness differences.

What can be done with skewed datasets? One solution is to apply the Beta-Geometric cor-271 rection (BGC) [16]. This is a non-linear normalization procedure that adjusts the insertion-count 272 distribution to look more like a conventional geometric distribution. This can be accomplished us-273 ing the '-n betageom' flag to the 'normalize' command. BGC normalization dramatically squashes 274 down the sites with the highest counts, but if it is applied uniformly to all the samples in a com-275 parison, it often greatly reduces the number of (apparently) significant hits, hopefully getting rid of 276 false positives and retaining only a few true positives where the trend of insertions truly supports 277 a change in essentiality (fitness difference). 278

> transit normalize G1.wig G1\_BGC.wig -n betageom

### <sup>280</sup> 3.2 Analyses for Single Conditions

### 281 3.2.1 Gumbel Analysis

Analyses of individual conditions might occur when a new strain is being evaluated in a reference 282 condition (rich growth medium, for example), and the goal is to make a preliminary catalog of 283 essential genes. There are two principle methods in Transit: Gumbal analysis, and a Hidden 284 Markov Model (HMM). Gumbel analysis focuses on genes with statistically significant gaps, or 285 consecutive sequences of TA sites lacking insertions (empty sites, with counts of 0) [26] Since most 286 libraries are sub-saturated, empty sites will occur in non-essential regions at random, but long 287 sequences of empty sites are statistically unlikely, and genes containing such gaps are taken as 288 essential. The Gumbel method uses the Extreme Value Distribution to quantify the certainty, and 289 a posterior probability is reported in the output file (with separate thresholds for Essential and 290 Non-essential genes, and Uncertain genes in between). An important advantage of this gap-based 291 approach is that it is tolerant of insertions which sometimes occur at the N- and C-termini of ORFs. 292 A disadvantage is that it works less well with less-saturated libraries (< 40%), and can yield many 293 more Uncertain calls, especially for shorter genes (with < 10 TA sites). Note that the magnitude 294 of insertion counts (and hence normalization) do not matter for Gumbel analysis. 295

### 296 > transit gumbel G1.wig,G2.wig ref.prot\_table gumbel\_H37Rv\_glycerol.txt

Gumbel can be run on multiple replicates. If multiple replicates are available, they can be provided to Gumbel via a comma-separated list, as shown above, and the data will be merged. The output file will contains values for each gene, such as number of TA sites, number of sites with insertion, longest run of sites without insertion, and finally a posterior probability ('zbar') and call (ES=essential, NE=non-ess, U=uncertain, S=too short to analyze). This command also has flags for explicitly trimming (ignoring) TA sites in termini of genes, etc.

A typical expectation is that around 10-15% of genes will be essential for growth in vitro in most bacterial genomes, as has been observed across many organisms [10], though of course it depends on size of genome and growth condition.

### 306 3.2.2 Prot Tables

An important input file to Gumbel, like many other methods in Transit, is a "prot\_table," which con-307 tains the annotation of the genome (including start and stop coordinates of genes). The prot\_table 308 format is derived from an old format that could be downloaded from GenBank years ago. It is 309 a tab-separated file with the following fields for each gene: function/description, start coord, end 310 coord, strain ('+' or '-'), gene length (in amino acids; unused), 3 unused fields, gene name, and 311 ORF id. More recently, the gff (or gff3) format is being used for genome annotations. Transit has a 312 method to convert a gff file into a prot\_table. However, there is greater flexibility in the gff format 313 (especially, varying use of keywords), which is difficult to anticipate, so if the Transit command 314 does not work, users might have to write their own script to convert their gff file to a prot\_table. 315

### 316 > transit convert gff\_to\_prot\_table <ref.gff> <ref.prot\_table>

See Notes on including other types of genes in prot\_tables. Note that one can add other types 317 of genes to a prot\_table, such as tRNAs, rRNAs, and ncRNAs. All that is required it to include 318 lines with the expected format, including start and end coordinates and strand. Furthermore, the 319 same approach can be used to represent other types of loci, such as operons (spanning multiple 320 genes) or intergenic regions, to be analyzed for essentiality. However, note that most intergenic 321 regions and ncRNAs are relatively small compared to typical protein-coding regions, and hence 322 they often have only a few (if any) TA sites, making essentiality analysis highly uncertain (except 323 for the most highly saturated libraries [44]). 324

### 325 3.2.3 Hidden Markov Model

The HMM can also be used to assess TnSeq data in a single condition. It works a different principle 326 - using a probabilistic model to estimate the state at each TA based on the counts and consistency 327 with adjacent sites [27]. This allows the HMM to smooth over individual outlier values (such as an 328 isolated insertion in any otherwise empty region, or empty sites scattered among insertion in a non-329 essential region) and make a call for a region/gene that integrates information over multiple sites. 330 The important difference from Gumbel analysis is that the HMM takes into account the magnitudes 331 of insertion counts, which can also carry information about the growth requirement (or fitness 332 effect) of a gene. This allows the HMM to make finer distinctions, utilizing 4 states for individual 333 sites: ES (essential), GD (growth-defect), NE (non-essential), and GA (growth-advantaged). One 334 might see a GD call for a gene whose disruption (by the transposon) impairs growth, so counts 335 are suppressed (compared to the global average, but not all the way to 0), while a gene might be 336 called GA if transposon insertions actually confer a growth advantage, resulting in inflated counts 337

<sup>338</sup> [21]. The gene-level calls are made based on the majority call among the TA sites within each <sup>339</sup> gene. The HMM automatically tunes its internal parameters (e.g. transition probabilities) to the <sup>340</sup> characterisitics of the input datasets (saturation and mean insertion counts), and can work over a <sup>341</sup> broad range of saturation levels (as low as 20%, [27]).

### 342 > transit hmm G1.wig,G2.wig H37Rv.prot\_table hmm\_H37Rv\_glycerol.txt

The HMM command has flags for normalization (-n) and also how to handle merging of replicates (-r), though defaults are usually fine. There are actually two output files generated by the HMM, one named on the command line, which will contain the analysis (e.g. state probabilities and call) at each individual TA site, and another, hmm\_H37Rv\_glycerol\_genes.txt ('genes' added as suffix to filename), which contains the call for each gene. There is no "probability" associated with the call for each gene. It is important to keep in mind that the call for a given gene can be influenced by insertions in the adjacent region, which is part of the design of HMMs.

The '-l' flag may be used to apply a LOESS correction to adjust for large-scale variations 350 Because the HMM takes the magnitudes of insertions in insertion counts across the genome. 351 into account, it can be affected by large-scale variations in insertion counts across the genome. In 352 the GUI, a plot of the smoothed mean insertion count can be generated. If there are noticable 353 differences, the local deviations from the global mean can be subtracted out using the LOESS 354 correction [17] ('-1' flag for the hmm command). While this is typically not necessary for Th 355 libraries in *M. tuberculosis*, chromosomal biases can be more severe in faster-replicating species. 356 like Vibrio cholera [3] and E. coli [49]. 357

Because essential and growth-defect regions are close (both have suppressed counts), the difference in call by the HMM (ES vs GD) can be affected by noise, so we often combine the two categories. Typically, 15-20% of genes in a bacterial genome are called by the HMM as ES or GD, suggesting they are either absolutely required (ES) or contribute to fitness (GD) in the growth condition evaluated.

### <sup>363</sup> 3.3 Pairwise Comparisons: Resampling

Comparisons between two conditions can be used to identify conditionally-essential genes. In 364 addition to binary cases where an essential becomes non-essential or vice versa, we also include 365 genes with quantitative changes in insertion counts, reflecting apparent fitness changes for mutants 366 (for example, genes in which the mean insertion count decreases by 2-fold, though not going all 367 the way to 0). The primary tool in Transit used for pairwise comparisons is "resampling." It is 368 equivalent to a permutation test on the difference of the mean counts between the two conditions 369 for each gene. Of course, because of stochasticity, almost every gene will exhibit some difference in 370 mean insertion counts between any pair of conditions. What matters is whether the difference is 371 statistically significant. 372

Resampling is a frequentist approach that compares the observed differences of mean insertion 373 counts to a null distribution to determine whether the difference is larger than would be expected 374 by chance (given the same counts but without knowledge of the condition). First, the normalized 375 insertion counts are pooled over all TA sites and replicates for both conditions. Then a null 376 distribution is created by repeatedly drawing random two samples (of the same size as the original 377 number of observations) from the pooled counts and calculating the difference of means 10,000 378 times. From this a two-tailed p-value is derived for the observed difference, and the p-values 379 are adjusted post-hoc for multiple testing via the Benjamini-Hochberg correction [50] to limit the 380 overall false discovery rate (FDR) to 5%. 381

This comparative approach to identifying conditionally essential genes is preferred over simply combining the results of individual analyses of each condition (e.g. Gumbel) and selecting genes that are called Essential in one condition but not the other, because the results of that approach could be influenced by which genes fall just above or below the significance cutoff. Resampling is a direct comparison that looks at differences in insertion counts. The resampling procedure is designed to be (somewhat) robust to noise (e.g. outliers, high variance among counts), and is appropriately less sensitive for smaller genes and more sensitive with more replicates.

Normalization is critically important for resampling. If the individual datasets are not properly 389 normalized, it can lead to the appearance of an artifically inflated number of conditional essentials 390 (i.e. false positives, artifacts). The default normalization used in Transit is called TTR (Trimmed 391 For each dataset, the total insertions over all TA sites is tabulated, after Total Read-count). 392 removing the top and bottom 1% of sites (to reduce the influence of outliers). The sites are 393 divided by this total, and then scaled-up so that the mean insertion count over the whole genome 394 is 100. While the calculation is simple, it puts counts from different datasets on a comparable 395 basis. Furthermore, it maintains a balance so that counts from less-saturated datasets are inflated 396 proportionally, so that the mean insertion for most genes (averaged over multiple TA sites) stays 397 about the same on average. This objective is important for the assumptions of resampling (see 398 explanation in [23]). A way to verify that TTR normalization is doing the right thing is to make a 399 scatter plot of the mean insertion counts for each gene between any two datasets; the data should 400 scatter along the X=Y diagonal. Individual points can deviate from this line due to: experimental 401 noise, sampling error for small genes with few TA sites, or biological differences (if the datasets 402 represent distinct experimental conditions). But if there is a systematic deviation away from the 403 X=Y line in this scatter plot (due to improper normalization), it would likely produce an excess of 404 conditional essentials detected by resampling. 405

Resampling can be run on 2 sets of wig files (comma-separated) as follows:

### 407 > transit resampling G1.wig,G2.wig C1.wig,C2.wig,C3.wig H37Rv.prot\_table 408 resampling\_glyc\_chol.txt -a

<sup>409</sup> The full list of options for the resampling command is:

```
> transit resampling
410
   usage:
411
   transit resampling <comma-separated .wig control files> <comma-separated .wig experimental files>
412
                        <annotation .prot_table or GFF3> <output file> [Optional Arguments]
413
   or
414
   transit resampling -c <combined_wig> <samples_metadata> <ctrl condition name> <exp condition name>
415
                           <annotation .prot_table> <output file> [Optional Arguments]
416
   NB: The ctrl and exp condition names should match Condition names in samples_metadata file.
417
418
   Optional Arguments:
419
                     Number of samples. Default: -s 10000
    -s <int>
                :=
420
                     Normalization method. (Default: -n TTR)
       <string>
                :=
421
    -n
   -h
                     Output histogram of the permutations for each gene. (Default: Turned Off)
                 :=
422
                     Perform adaptive resampling. (Default: Turned Off)
423
   -a
                 :=
                     Exclude rows with zero across conditions. (Default: Turned Off)
424
   -ez
                :=
425
    -PC <float>
                :=
                     Pseudocounts used in calculating LFC. (default: 1)
   -1
                     Perform LOESS Correction (Default: Turned Off)
426
                :=
                     Ignore TAs occuring within given percent (as int) of the N terminus. (Def: 0)
   -iN <int>
                :=
427
                     Ignore TAs occuring within given percent (as int) of the C terminus. (Def: 0)
   -iC <int>
428
                :=
                    String of letters representing library of control files in order
429
   --ctrl_lib
                :=
```

# 430e.g. 'AABB'. Default empty. Letters used must also be used in --exp\_lib431If non-empty, resampling will limit permutations to within-libraries.432--exp\_lib433:=String of letters representing library of experimental files in order

where typically the experimental condition represents a treatment and the control condition representation the untreated or reference condition. The samples\_metadata used with combined\_wig inputs will be explained in the next section.

The input files are implicitly normalized by TTR (though this can be changed using '-n').

<sup>437</sup> TA sites near the termini of ORFs can be trimmed using -iC and -iN. For example, to ignore <sup>438</sup> insertion in the first or last 5% of an ORF, use '-iC 5 -iN 5.'

I recommend using the '-a' flag to apply the adaptive version of resampling, which is much faster and generally outputs p-values close to those obtained by performing all 10,000 samples (within a factor of 2-3). It truncates the resampling early for genes when it is clear that they are not going to be significant. Almost all significant genes will be still be significant, except for possibly a few marginal differences very close to the 0.05 threshold on adjusted p-value.

If the -h flag is given, histograms of the null distribution from resampling for each gene, along with a demarcation for the observed difference in mean insertion count between the conditions, will be generated in a sub-directory. These images can be examined to determine whether the null distribution for a gene of interest looks appropriately bell-shaped (versus bimodal, for example).

The output file contains the various statistics on the resampling for each gene and a Padjcolumn at the end. Users can open the tab-separated file as a spreadsheet and sort by Padj. The conditionally-essential genes are those with Padj < 0.05.

It is difficult to say what the expectations would be for number of conditional-essentials, since 451 it depends on the impact of the biological effects of the selection conditions. In some cases. 452 the treatment (e.g. a high-stress condition) might affect the essentiality (and fitness) of hundreds 453 of genes. In other cases, biologically weak selection criteria might affect only a few (or perhaps 454 no) genes. If the experimenter feels there are too few hits (e.g. compared to their expectations, 455 possibly lacking genes known to be in affected pathways), then it might help to collect additional 456 replicates, which can increase the sensitivity of detection. If, subjectively, too many significant 457 genes are output, it might be a sign of problems with skewing of the data. The user can follow 458 the QC guidelines above to possibly identify lower-quality datasets to try excluding, or they might 459 consider applying BGC normalization ('-n betageom') to the datasets. 460

The output also reports the log-fold-change (LFC, base 2) of the mean counts. It can be used 461 to sort the genes to identify those showing the greatest increase or decrease in counts (relatively 462 less or more essential). However, only genes with Padj < 0.05 should be considered significant. 463 But these could be uncertain, and should be ignored if they have a Padj above 0.05. Frequently, 464 genes with the largest-magnitude LFC are often smaller genes (with fewer TA sites) or genes with 465 very low insertion counts, which are often not statistically significant. Of course, as with other 466 frequentist statistical tests, genes with Padj above 0.05 should not be over-interpreted as evidence 467 that they are *unaffected* by the condition. 468

Pseudo-counts are used in the calculation of LFCs to help reduce noise. To dampen the appearance of high-magnitude LFCs from genes with low insertion counts (which are more susceptible to noise), one can increase the pseudo-counts using '-PC'. The LFC is calculated using the following formula, which uses PC=1 by default, to avoid the result being undefined for genes with means of 0 in either condition:

 $LFC = log_2((\text{mean in expt condition} + PC)/(\text{mean in ctrl condition} + PC))$ 

For example, a gene with a mean count of 1 in the first condition and 4 in the second would appear to have a fold-change of 4 and LFC of 2.0 (with PC=0). However, this is typically in the range of noise for TnSeq experiments. Considering that TTR normalization adjusts the mean insertion count of each sample to around 100, raising the pseudo-counts to a level of PC=5 would reduce this to  $log_2(9/6) = 0.58$ , masking out differences below this level.

By loading the resampling output file into the GUI (bottom panel), a *volcano plot* can be generated (via 'Choose Action'), showing a scatter plot of the P-values versus the LFC for each gene. Genes the become more essential (in the experimental condition over control) are on the left (negative LFC), and significant genes are above the dashed red line (p-value threshold adjusted for 5% FDR).

See Notes for analyzing datasets from different libraries using resampling. If the replicates in 484 each conditions represent samples from multiple libraries, the sensitivity of resampling can some-485 times be increased by performing separate random draws from the counts for each library and 486 computing the difference of the means between conditions summed separately for each library. 487 This can help reduce the variance in the difference in the mean insertion count between conditions 488 by effectively subtracting out variability due to differences in abundance at each TA site between 489 libraries. The transposon library each sample in the two conditions can be specified symbolically 490 using a string of characters. For example '--exp\_lib AABB --ctrl\_lib AB' would indicate that 491 the first 2 experimental samples came from library A and the second two samples from library B; 492 there can be different numbers of samples in the control condition, but they should use the same 493 codes (in this case, one sample each from library A and B). 494

### 495 3.3.1 Pathway Analysis

Typically, the significant genes do not all come from the same pathway, but often represent a variety of pathways in which genes experience apparent increases or decreases in fitness. In order to get a sense of which pathways might be enriched among the conditionally essential genes, the 'pathway\_enrichment' command may be used:

### 500 > transit pathway\_enrichment <resampling\_file> <associations> <pathways> 501 <output\_file> [-M <FET|GSEA|ONT>] [-PC <int>]

The (associations) file lists mappings of ORF ids to pathway ids in a two-column format. Three ex-502 ample systems of functional categories are: Sanger roles [28] (123 roles), COG categories (Clusters of 503 Orthologous Genes, [29], 20 categories), and GO terms (Gene Ontology, http://www.geneontology.org/; 504 there are  $\sim 4000$  GO terms with at least 1 gene in H37Rv). The corresponding pathway as-505 sociations files for genes in Mtb H37Rv are provided in the Transit data directory (\$TRAN-506 SIT/src/pytransit/data/) are: H37Rv\_sanger\_roles.dat, H37Rv\_COG\_roles.dat, and H37Rv\_GO\_terms.txt. 507 There may be multiple roles/pathway associations for each gene (listed on separate lines), or 508 none (e.g. for hypothetical genes not yet functionally annotated). Note that these files have been 509 expanded to include associations of each gene with all parents of each GO term or role (since 510 these are hierarchical systems). The  $\langle pathways \rangle$  file gives the functional descriptions for each 511 pathway\_id/role/GO term (e.g. sanger\_roles.dat, COG\_roles.dat, and GO\_term\_names.dat in the 512 data directory). These will be the same for all organisms. 513

<sup>514</sup> There are three alternative methods used to evaluate significance of pathway enrichment:

• The first is to use <u>Fisher's exact test</u>, where the p-value based on hypergeometric distribution of observed counts of category members among the hits compared the expected number based on the whole genome [30]. This is the default method (**'-M FET'**). The output file contains a list of significant pathways, sorted by adjusted p-value. In addition, the *enrichment* for each gene is reported. Enrichment is defined as the ratio of *observed* pathway members among the significant genes to the *expected* number based on the background proportion of category members in the overall genome. To mitigate the impact small pathways with only a few genes (which might spuriously appear as enriched), pseudocounts of 2 are incorporated in the numerator and denominator. This can be modified with the '-PC' flag. However, this analysis can be of limited use if the total number of significant (conditionally essential) genes is small (< 10).

• An alternative approach is Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA, [31]) (using the '-M GSEA' 526 flag). GSEA takes into account the ranking of all the genes, without regard to a significance 527 cutoff. First, the genes are sorted by LFC. Alternatively, they can be ranked by the signed 528 log-P-value  $(SLPV = sign(LFC) * loq_{10}(pval))$ , which effectively ranks the genes by signif-529 icance from largest increase in insertion counts to largest decrease (with insignificant genes 530 falling in the middle of the ranking). Then the GSEA algorithm calculates a score reflecting 531 the mean rank of a given set of genes and performs a simulation to determine the signifance 532 (p-value) by comparing to a null distribution of scores derived from random shuffling of the 533 order. The closer the mean rank of a group of genes is to the top of the entire ranked list, 534 or the closer to the bottom, the more significant. The potential advantage of GSEA over 535 Fisher's exact test is that all the genes in a pathway can contribute to its enrichment, even if 536 only a few (or none) are above the significance cutoff, as long as there is a systematic trend 537 of increased or decreased counts shared by many of them. 538

Finally, the Ontologizer method [32] has been implemented and is available via the '-M ONT' flag. The Ontologizer method acknowledges the hierarchical nature of the Gene Ontology and is designed to take advantage of parent-child relationships among GO terms by computing a conditional version of Fisher's exact test for a node conditioned on the genes in its parents. This can help focus the analysis on nodes in the GO hierarchy showing the most specific enrichment.

545 Here are some examples of using these various options.

```
# uses Fisher's exact test by default (with PC=2 as pseudocounts)
546
   >
     transit pathway_enrichment resampling_glyc_chol.txt $DATA/H37Rv_sanger_roles.dat
547
                                  $DATA/sanger_roles.dat pathways_glyc_chol_Sanger.txt
548
549
   # can do this with GO terms too
550
   > transit pathway_enrichment resampling_glyc_chol.txt $DATA/H37Rv_G0_terms.txt
551
                                  $DATA/GO_term_names.dat pathways_glyc_chol_GO.txt
552
553
   # with COG categories
554
   > transit pathway_enrichment resampling_glyc_chol.txt $DATA/H37Rv_COG_roles.dat
555
                                  $DATA/COG_roles.dat pathways_glyc_chol_COG.txt
556
557
   # can also do GSEA method (on any system of functional categories)
558
   > transit pathway_enrichment resampling_glyc_chol.txt $DATA/H37Rv_sanger_roles.dat
559
                                  $DATA/sanger_roles.dat pathways_Sanger_GSEA.txt -M GSEA
560
561
   # Ontologizer is a specialized method for GO terms
562
   > transit pathway_enrichment resampling_glyc_chol.txt $DATA/H37Rv_G0_terms.txt
563
                                  $DATA/GO_term_names.dat pathways_Ontologizer.txt -M ONT
564
```

where \$DATA refers to the path to the Transit data directory noted above. In this dataset, 2 COG and 6 Sanger categories related to secondary-metabolite/small-molecule metabolism and <sup>567</sup> lipid metabolism are identified as significant. The most significant GO term identified (among <sup>568</sup> 26 significant terms, though many overlap) is GO:0008202, 'steroid metabolic process' (Padj =<sup>569</sup> 0.0000089; 15 out of 75 conditionally essential genes from resampling), which is consistent with the <sup>570</sup> experiment (growth on cholesterol versus glycerol).

### 571 3.4 Analyses of Multiple Conditions

Recently, researchers have begun conducting more complex experiments using Tn libraries where a 572 library is assessed across a large number of treatment conditions. For example, one might evaluate 573 responses (conditional essentiality) to treatment with a panel of different antibiotics, possibly at 574 different concentrations. One might assess the library in different stress conditions (heat, cold, 575 hypoxia, SDS, nutrient starvation, iron limitation, etc.), or with media supplemented in various 576 ways (e.g. multiple sources of carbon, nitrogen, sulfur, or phosphorous). Or one might compare 577 survival of a bacterial transposon mutants in animal models with different genetic backgrounds, 578 or for different durations of infection, etc. Analysis of such TnSeq datasets goes well beyond 579 simple pairwise comparisons between conditions and often requires customized statistical analysis 580 to evaluate the effects of the experimental variables. As a first step, Transit has some tools for 581 evaluating variability of insertion counts across conditions. The analysis below focus on identifying 582 genes that exhibit some statistically significant differences in insertion counts across the panel of 583 conditions. This is a useful starting point to begin to assess effects of the treatments (and similarities 584 among them) based on the subsets of genes that respond. 585

In this section, we use an example from a study of iron utilization in mycobacteria [33]. The data includes 2-3 replicates each of an *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv transposon library grown in in-vitro conditions involving several different vehicles for iron delivery, focusing on various forms of heme and mycobactin (6 conditions). The 14 wig files for this example have already been consolidated into a combined wig file (iron\_combined\_wig4.txt), and a samples metadata file is used to encode which samples belong to which condition.

### 592 3.4.1 Genetic Interaction Analysis

A special case of multi-condition analysis that occurs frequently is when TnSeq libraries in a wild-593 type strain and a mutant strain (e.g. gene-knockout) are compared between two conditions (e.g. a 594 stress and a control). Typically, one is looking for genes that are conditionally essentially in the 595 stress condition, but one wants to factor out those genes with a similar response in the wild-type 596 strain and focus on differences unique to the mutant. There are many variations of this scheme 597 which also require a comparison of 4 TnSeq datasets, arranged as  $2 \times 2$ . Transit has a specialized 598 method based on Bayesian analysis [34] to identify significantly interacting genes (that interact 599 with the knockout in the context of the stress). An example of how to run this command is as 600 follows, which takes 4 groups of comma-separated wig files as input: 601

602 > python3 ../../transit/src/transit.py GI

```
603 <wigs_for_strA_cond1> <wigs_for_strA_cond2> <wigs_for_strB_cond1> <wigs_for_strB_cond2>
604 <annotation .prot_table or GFF3> <output file>
```

In the output file, significant genes are categoried as 'aggravating', 'alleviating', or 'suppressive' interactions, depending on whether they exhibit increased or decreased insertion counts in the mutant compared to the wild-type in the stress condition.

### 608 3.4.2 ANOVA

ANOVA analysis in Transit can be used to identify genes that exhibit significant *variability* of insertion counts across multiple conditions. ANOVA is a traditional statistical method for determining whether there are differences in observations among several groups. This method can be run in Transit using the following command:

```
> transit anova --help
613
   Usage: python3 transit.py anova <combined wig file> <samples_metadata file>
614
                  <annotation .prot_table> <output file> [Optional Arguments]
615
   Optional Arguments:
616
   -n <string> := Normalization method. Default: -n TTR
617
   --include-conditions <cond1,...> := Comma-sep list of conds to use for analysis
618
   --ignore-conditions <cond1,...> := Comma-sep list of conds to ignore
619
   -iN <int> := Ignore TAs within given percentage of N terminus. Def: -iN 0
620
   -iC <int> := Ignore TAs within given percentage of C terminus. Def: -iC 0
621
   -PC <int> := pseudocounts to use for calculating LFC. Default: -PC 5
622
```

623 For example,

### 624 > transit anova iron\_combined\_wig4.txt iron\_samples\_metadata.txt H37Rv.prot\_table anova\_iron.txt

The use of a combined\_wig file makes it easy to work with a large number of datasets in 626 this context. The samples metadata file is a spreadsheet (in tab-separated text format) prepared 627 by users that contains information about each sample/dataset/wig file. The headers must con-628 tain 'Id', 'Filename', and 'Condition', where Id is a unique name for each sample, Filename is 629 the name of its wig file (incorporated in the combined\_wig file) and Condition is a symbolic 630 name used to represent the treatment (typically represented by multiple replicates). The sam-631 ples metadata file can contain additional information as well (e.g. time points, concentrations, 632 batches, etc.). During the ANOVA analysis, the (TTR-normalized) insertion counts for the TA 633 sites in each gene will be pooled into groups based on the condition labels, before computing 634 the F-statistic and p-value. P-values are adjusted post-hoc by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. 635 Flags --ignore-conditions and --include-conditions can be used to focus on the analy-636 sis on just a subset of desired conditions (provided as a comma-separated list). For example 637 '--include-conditions HighFeMBT, Hemin, Hemoglobin' or '--ignore-conditions LowFeMBT'. 638

The output of ANOVA analysis is a tab-separated spreadsheet which can be sorted by adjusted P-value. In this data, 181 genes were found to exhibit significant variability in insertion counts among the 6 iron-supplementation conditions. If a gene is determined to be significant by ANOVA, it only means that its counts vary in some condition (at least one) relative to the others, but does not indicate which one. Like traditional ANOVA analysis, post-hoc analyses must be employed to determine which conditions a gene is responding to, such as Tukey's range-test (or honestly significant difference), which is based on pairwise comparisons between conditions [35].

To facilitate this post-hoc analysis, the ANOVA method in Transit also prints out LFCs for each gene in each condition. The LFCs can be used to look for genes that respond to specific conditions, e.g. by sorting on these columns to look for genes with the most enrichment or depletion in a given condition. Note that *LFCs are computed relative to the mean insertion count for a gene across all conditions.* Thus, there will almost always be some condition(s) with higher counts (representing more fitness for the mutant) and other condition(s) with lower counts (where disruption of gene has less fitness, and hence is relatively more essential) than average. Pseudocounts of 5 are used in calculating the LFCs (which helps reduce high-magnitude LFCs for genes with low counts, which are susceptible to noise), though this can be changed with the -PC flag.

The LFC columns in the ANOVA output can be colored as a heatmap in the spreadsheet (using Excel) to make the patterns of variation among the genes more clear. In addition, the ANOVA output file can be used to generate a heatmap (see Figure 1, adapted from [33]) that simultaneously clusters the significant genes and the conditions, which is especially useful for shedding light on the relationships among the conditions apparent in the data:

### 660 > transit heatmap -anova anova\_iron.txt heatmap\_iron.png

Similarly, the anova file can be used as input the corrplot command (with the '-anova' flag suffixed)
 to show the similarities among the condition.

### 663 > transit corrplot anova\_iron.txt heatmap\_iron.png -anova

Importantly, the heatmap and corrplot analyses are based only on the significantly varying genes (Padj < 0.05, typically only a few hundred) in order to enhance the patterns, since otherwise they would be washed out by the rest of the genes in the genome, the majority of which do not exhibit significant variation.





Figure 1: a) Correlation plot among iron-supplementation conditions based on significantly varying genes according to ANOVA. b) Heatmap of same data showing clustering of genes and conditions. Blue means more insertions than average (i.e. less essential), and red means less insertions than average (i.e. more essential).

### 668 3.4.3 Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial (ZINB)

An alternative method for identifying genes exhibiting variability of insertion counts across conditions is Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial (ZINB) regression, which has recently been added to

Transit [36]. One of the limitations of ANOVA analysis is that it assumes the data are Normally 671 distributed. However, transposon insertion counts clearly violate this assumption (for several rea-672 sons). The ZINB method is designed to be a better model for insertion count data by: a) represent-673 ing the non-zero counts using a Negative Binomial distribution, and b) representing the saturation 674 (TA sites with counts of 0) using a zero component in a mixture model. Thus, when analyzing the 675 counts in a gene across multiple conditions, ZINB can detect variations in either the magnitudes in 676 the insertion counts or the local level of saturation. This enables ZINB to be a little more sensitive 677 than ANOVA, and empirical studies suggest ZINB can detect about 30-50% more significant genes. 678 The usage of the ZINB command in Transit is as follows: 679

```
> transit zing --help
680
   Usage: transit zinb <combined wig file> <samples_metadata file> <annotation .prot_table>
681
                         <output file> [Optional Arguments]
682
   Optional Arguments:
683
684
    -n <string>
                     :=
                         Normalization method. Default: -n TTR
     --condition
                     :=
                         column name in samples_metadata to use. Default: "Condition"
685
     --ignore-conditions <cond1,...> := Comma separated list of conditions to ignore
686
     --include-conditions <cond1,...> := Comma separated list of conditions to include
687
     -iN <float>
                         Ignore TAs within given percentage of the N terminus. Def: 5
                     :=
688
     -iC <float>
                         Ignore TAs within given percentage of the C terminus. Def: 5
                     :=
689
     -PC < N >
                     := Pseudocounts used in calculating LFCs in output file. Default: -PC 5
690
     --covars ... := Comma-sep. list of variables (columns in metadata) to use as covariates
691
     --interactions ... := Comma-sep. list of variables to test for interations
692
    --gene <ORF id or gene name> := Run method for just one gene and print model output.
693
```

<sup>694</sup> For example,

695 > transit zinb iron\_combined\_wig4.txt iron\_samples\_metadata.txt 696 H37Rv.prot\_table zinb\_iron.txt

If there are just two conditions provided, then using ZINB analysis to identify genes with 697 variable insertion counts is equivalent to detecting conditional essentiality. Hence ZINB can be 698 viewed as an alternative to resampling in this limiting case, and anecdotal testing suggests that 699 there is a great deal of overlap. ZINB can sometimes identify additional genes as conditionally 700 essential where there is a difference in either local saturation or magnitudes of counts alone, even if 701 the overall mean count between conditions is not significantly different, and hence not detected by 702 resampling. (see [36] for a more thorough comparison of the differences in significant hits between 703 ZINB and resampling on example datasets). 704

In the output file for ZINB, multiple columns of information are provided on the mean counts in each condition, LFCs (relative to the mean across all conditions), non-zero mean, and saturation. For convenience, the –gene flag can be used to run the analysis just on a single gene, and the Transit will print out the condition-dependent and condition-independent models, likelihoods, etc., as in this example:

```
710 > transit zinb iron_combined_wig4.txt iron_samples_metadata.txt
711 H37Rv.prot_table output.txt --gene glpK
```

The significance (p-value) of each gene is determined by a likelihood ratio test (LRT). First, a condition-dependent model is generated by fitting independent parameters for each condition (such as mean and dispersion of insertion counts in the Negative Binomial, and saturation as the mixing <sup>715</sup> coefficient with the zero component). Then a condition-independent ZINB model is generated by <sup>716</sup> fitting a common set of parameters based on the counts pooled across all conditions. Finally, a <sup>717</sup> likelihood ratio test is performed to determine whether the increase in likelihood of the condition-<sup>718</sup> dependent model is justified, given the increased number of parameters. A p-value is derived <sup>719</sup> for each gene using a chi-square distribution, and then the p-values are adjusted post-hoc by the <sup>720</sup> Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control the overall FDR.

One of the advantages of the ZINB model is that it is implemented in a Generalized Linear 721 Model (GLM) framework, which allows incorporation of experimental variables (attributes of the 722 conditions) as covariates. One application of this idea is to factor our the effect of an attribute that 723 is known to affect the main condition in a way that is not of interest. For example, suppose we 724 are interested in identifying genes that exhibit variable responses to different antibiotics. Further, 725 suppose the samples were each cultured for varying amounts of time (e.g. 0, 1, or 2 weeks). It is 726 natural to expect that there will variation in insertion counts between samples at different time-727 points, even if they are treated with the same drug. To evaluate the effect of the drug, it is desirable 728 to subtract out any systematic effects on the insertion counts due to time (independent of drug). If 729 a "Time" column is included in the samples metadata file, and a column with the header "Drug" 730 encodes the drug treatment for each sample, then this may be achieved by using the --covars flag, 731 as in this hypothetical example: 732

# 733 > transit zinb combined\_wig.txt samples\_metadata.txt H37Rv.prot\_table 734 ZINB\_cond\_drug\_covar\_time.txt --condition Drug --covars Time

If there are multiple covariates, they can by specified using a comma-separated list (i.e. corresponding to separate columns in the metadata file). The --covars flag could also potentially be used to correct for batch effects (where the variation in insertion counts in some samples appear to be determined by the batch of the experiment or data collection).

A similar approach can be used to test for interactions of variables with the main condition. 739 For example, suppose we are interested in genes that respond differentially to a panel of drugs. 740 Furthermore, suppose TnSeq data was collected for cultures grown on media containing one of 741 several carbon sources, e.g. glycerol, glucose, or cholesterol. In order to test whether carbon source 742 interacts with drug, ZINB will fit a model based on the cross-product of all combinations of the 743 two variables and compare it (using an LRT) to a condition-independent model (where the counts 744 are pooled for the main condition). Assuming there is a column with the header "CarbonSource" 745 in the samples metadata, the interaction may be tested as in the following hypothetical example: 746

747 > transit zinb combined\_wig.txt samples\_metadata.txt H37Rv.prot\_table

- 748 ZINB\_cond\_drug\_interac\_carbon.txt
- 749
- --condition Drug --interactions CarbonSource

In the resulting output file, significant genes are those the exhibit some variablility among the drug treatments that is dependent on carbon source.

As with ANOVA, the ZINB output file can be used to make a heatmap showing the clustering of the significant genes and the the conditions:

754 > transit heatmap -zinb zinb\_iron.txt zinb\_iron\_heatmap.png

# 755 4 Summary

Transit is designed to be a platform for statistical analysis of TnSeq data, with a focus on analysis of Himar1 transposon libraries (where insertions are restricted to TA dinucleotides). Some of the

analytical methods can be applied to other transposons, like Tn5, though currently, they don't 758 work as robustly. Although Transit has a graphical interface (GUI), some of the more recent tools 759 that have been added can only be invoked at the command line, which has been the focus of this 760 paper. The Transit Pre-Processor (TPP) provides a way of processing raw sequencing data files 761 and reducing the raw data to TA-site insertion counts in the form of wig files. The 'tnseq\_stats' 762 command provides important summary statistics on datasets which is useful for diagnositics (i.e. 763 identifying poor-quality datasets that might need to be excluded or re-collected). The analytical 764 tools can be divided into 3 major tasks. First, individual datasets from an organism in a single 765 (e.g. reference) condition can be used to identify essential genes using methods such as Gumbel 766 analysis (gaps) or a Hidden Markov Model. Second, pairs of conditions can be compared to identify 767 conditionally essential genes using resampling (a permutation test on mean counts). The recently 768 added ability to apply resampling on datasets mapped to different genome sequences has proven 769 useful for studying differences in gene essentiality between libraries made from different strains, 770 such as clinical isolates. Several methods for pathway enrichment analysis have also been added to 771 gain additional insight from functional similarities among conditionally essential genes. 772

However, more recent developments in Transit have focused on supplying tools for analyzing 773 larger collections of datasets from experiments involving multiple conditions. To keep things man-774 ageble when working with large collections of datasets, many of the tools in Transit have been 775 extended to use 'combined\_wig' files and accompanying metadata files that encode relevant infor-776 mation about the different conditions. A starting point for analyzing such complex experiments is 777 to identify genes exhibiting statistically significant variability across the conditions, using ANOVA 778 or ZINB analysis, and then to begin to cluster and assess genes based on the similarity of their 779 patterns of count variations, utilizing correlation plots and heatmaps. ZINB can be used to perform 780 more sophisticated analyses through the exploitation of variables relating the different conditions 781 as covariates and/or interactions (including capturing the trend or dependence of insertion counts 782 on quantitative variables such as time or concentration). Genetic interaction (GI) analysis can be 783 used to evaluate experiments where two different experimental variables are evaluated, producing 784 a 2x2=4-way comparison of conditions, and test for significant interactions (e.g. suppressive, alle-785 viating, or aggravating), which is especially useful for identifying genes associated with phenotypic 786 changes in a knock-out strain compared to a wild-type strain. 787

Transit continues to evolve and improve, especially through feedback and suggestions from users (send email to ioerger@cs.tamu.edu). In the future, we hope to add new statistical methods to support analysis of more complex experiments, improve integration with the GUI, and also extend and improve the analyses to TnSeq libraries made with other transposons, especially Tn5.

# 792 Acknowledgements

<sup>793</sup> This work has been supported by NIH grants U19 AI107774 and P01 AI143575.

# 794 **References**

- van Opijnen T, Camilli A (2013) Transposon insertion sequencing: a new tool for systems-level analysis of microorganisms. Nat Rev Microbiol 11(7):435–442
- <sup>797</sup> 2. Barquist L, Boinett CJ, Cain AK (2013) Approaches to querying bacterial genomes with
   <sup>798</sup> transposon-insertion sequencing. RNA Biol 10(7):1161–1169

- <sup>799</sup> 3. Chao M, S A, Davis B, Waldor M (2016) The design and analysis of transposon insertion
   <sup>800</sup> sequencing experiments. Nat Rev Microbiol 14(2):119128
- 4. Langridge GC, Phan M, Turner D, Perkins T, Parts L, Haase J, Charles I, Maskell
  D, Peters S, Dougan G, et al (2009) Simultaneous assay of every salmonella typhi
  gene using one million transposon mutants. Genome Research 19(12):2308–2316, URL
  http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19826075
- 5. Gawronski JD, Wong SM, Giannoukos G, Ward DV, Akerley BJ (2009) Tracking insertion
   mutants within libraries by deep sequencing and a genome-wide screen for Haemophilus genes
   required in the lung. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106(38):16422-16427
- 6. Goodman AL, McNulty NP, Zhao Y, Leip D, Mitra RD, Lozupone CA, Knight R, I GJ (2009)
  Identifying genetic determinants needed to establish a human gut symbiont in its habitat. Cell
  Host Microbe 6(3):279–89
- 7. Wetmore K, Price M, Waters R, Lamson J, He J, Hoover C, Blow M, Bristow J, Butland G,
  Arkin A, A D (2015) Rapid quantification of mutant fitness in diverse bacteria by sequencing
  randomly bar-coded transposons. mBio 6(3):e00306–15
- Jensen P, Zhu Z, van Opijnen T (2017) Antibiotics disrupt coordination between transcriptional and phenotypic stress responses in pathogenic bacteria. Cell Reports 20(7):1705–1716
- 9. Zhang YJ, Reddy MC, Ioerger TR, Rothchild AC, Dartois V, Schuster BM, Trauner A, Wallis
  D, Galaviz S, Huttenhower C, Sacchettini JC, Behar SM, J RE (2013) Tryptophan biosynthesis
  protects mycobacteria from CD4 T-cell-mediated killing. Cell 155(6):1296–308
- 10. Luo H, Lin Y, Gao F, Zhang CT, Zhang R (2014) DEG 10, an update of the Database of
  Essential Genes that includes both protein-coding genes and non-coding genomic elements.
  Nucleic Acids Research 42:D574–D580
- Rubin EJ, Akerley BJ, Novik VN, Lampe DJ, Husson RN, Mekalanos JJ (1999) In vivo transpo sition of mariner-based elements in enteric bacteria and mycobacteria. PNAS 96(4):1645–1650
- Rubin EJ (2001)Comprehensive identification 12. Sassetti CM, Boyd DH, of con-824 98(22):12712-12717, ditionally essential genes inmycobacteria. PNAS DOI 825 10.1073/pnas.231275498, URL http://www.pnas.org/content/98/22/12712.abstract, 826 http://www.pnas.org/content/98/22/12712.full.pdf+html 827
- Reznikoff WS (2003) Tn5 as a model for understanding DNA transposition. Molecular Micro biology 43(5):1199–1206
- Lampe DJ, Churchill ME, Robertson HM (1996) A purified mariner transposase is sufficient
   to mediate transposition in vitro. the The European Molecular Biology Organization Journal
   15(19):5470-5479
- Long J, DeJesus M, Ward D, Baker R, Ioerger T, Sassetti C (2015) Identifying essential genes in
   *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by global phenotypic profiling. In: Lu LJ (ed) Methods in Molecular
   Biology: Gene Essentiality, Springer, vol 1279, pp 79–95
- 16. DeJesus MA, Ioerger TR (2016) Normalization of transposon-mutant library sequencing
   datasets to improve identification of conditionally essential genes. J Bioinform Comput Biol
   p 1642004

- 17. Zomer A, Burghout P, Bootsma HJ, Hermans PW, van Hijum SA (2012) ESSENTIALS: software for rapid analysis of high throughput transposon insertion sequencing data. PLoS ONE 7(8):e43012
- 18. Solaimanpour S, Sarmiento F, Mrazek J (2015) Tn-seq explorer: a tool for analysis of high throughput sequencing data of transposon mutant libraries. PLoS ONE 10(5):e0126070
- Barquist L, Mayho M, Cummins C, Cain AK, Boinett CJ, Page AJ, Langridge GC, Quail MA,
  Keane JA, Parkhill J (2016) The tradis toolkit: sequencing and analysis for dense transposon
  mutant libraries. Bioinformatics 32(7):1109
- 20. Zhao L, Anderson MT, Wu W, T Mobley HL, Bachman MA (2017) TnseqDiff: identification of
   conditionally essential genes in transposon sequencing studies. BMC Bioinformatics 18(1):326
- 21. van Opijnen T, Bodi KL, Camilli A (2009) Tn-seq: high-throughput parallel sequencing for
  fitness and genetic interaction studies in microorganisms. Nat Methods 6(10):767-772
- Pritchard JR, Chao MC, Abel S, Davis BM, Baranowski C, Zhang YJ, Rubin EJ, Waldor MK
   (2014) ARTIST: high-resolution genome-wide assessment of fitness using transposon-insertion
   sequencing. PLoS Genet 10(11):e1004782
- 23. DeJesus MA, Ambadipudi C, Baker R, Sassetti C, Ioerger TR (2015) TRANSIT-A Software
   Tool for Himar1 TnSeq Analysis. PLoS Comput Biol 11(10):e1004401
- 24. Griffin JE, Gawronski JD, DeJesus MA, Ioerger TR, Akerley BJ, Sassetti CM (2011) High resolution phenotypic profiling defines genes essential for mycobacterial growth and cholesterol
   catabolism. PLoS Pathog 7(9):e1002251, DOI 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002251
- Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
   Bioinformatics 25(14):1754–1760
- 26. DeJesus MA, Zhang YJ, Sassetti CM, Rubin EJ, Sacchettini JC, Ioerger TR (2013) Bayesian
   analysis of gene essentiality based on sequencing of transposon insertion libraries. Bioinformat ics 29(6):695-703
- 27. DeJesus MA, Ioerger TR (2013) A Hidden Markov Model for identifying essential and growth defect regions in bacterial genomes from transposon insertion sequencing data. BMC Bioinfor matics 14:303
- 28. Cole ST, Brosch R, Parkhill J (1998) Deciphering the biology of mycobacterium
  tuberculosis from the complete genome sequence. Nature 393(6685):537–544, URL
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/31159
- 29. Galperin MY, Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Koonin EV (2015) Expanded microbial genome coverage
  and improved protein family annotation in the COG database. Nucleic Acids Research 43:D261–
  9
- 30. Irizarry RA, Wang C, Zhou Y, Speed TP (2009) Gene set enrichment analysis made simple.
  Stat Methods Med Res 18(6):565–575
- 31. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP (2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. PNAS 102(43):15545-50

- 32. Grossmann S, Bauer S, Robinson PN, Vingron M (2007) Improved detection of overrepresenta tion of Gene-Ontology annotations with parent-child analysis. Bioinformatics 23(22):30243031
- 33. Zhang L, Hendrickson RC, Meikle V, Lefkowitz EJ, Ioerger TR, Niederweis M (2020)
   Comprehensize analysis of iron utilization by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. PLoS Pathogens
   16(3):e1008337
- 34. DeJesus MA, Nambi S, Smith CM, Baker RE, Sassetti CM, Ioerger TR (2017) Statistical
   analysis of genetic interactions in Tn-Seq data. Nucleic Acids Res 45(11):e93
- 35. Tukey J (1949) omparing individual means in the analysis of variance. Biometrics 5(2):99–114
- 36. Subramaniyam S, DeJesus MA, Zaveri A, Smith CM, Baker RE, Ehrt S, Schnappinger D,
   Sassetti CM, Ioerger TR (2019) Statistical analysis of variability in thseq data across conditions
   using zero-inflated negative binomial regression. BMC Bioinformatics 20(1):603
- 37. Xu W, DeJesus MA, Rcker N, Engelhart CA, Wright MG, Healy C, Lin K, Wang R, Park
   SW, Ioerger TR, Schnappinger D, S E (2017) Chemical genetic interaction profiling reveals
   determinants of intrinsic antibiotic resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrobial
   Agents Chemotherapy 61(22):e01334–17
- 38. Matern WM, Rifat D, Bader JS, Karakousis PC (2018) Gene enrichment analysis reveals major
   regulators of Mycobacterium tuberculosis gene expression in two models of antibiotic tolerance.
   Front Microbiol 9:610
- 39. Kieser KJ, Boutte CC, Kester JC, Baer CE, Barczak AK, Meniche X, Chao MC, Rego EH,
  Sassetti CM, Fortune SM, Rubin EJ (2015) Phosphorylation of the Peptidoglycan Synthase
  PonA1 Governs the Rate of Polar Elongation in Mycobacteria. PLoS Pathog 11(6):e1005010
- 40. Nambi S, Long JE, Mishra BB, Baker R, Murphy KC, Olive AJ, Nguyen HP, Shaffer SA,
  Sassetti CM (2015) The Oxidative Stress Network of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Reveals Coordination between Radical Detoxification Systems. Cell Host Microbe 17(6):829–837
- 41. Baranowski C, Welsh MA, Sham LT, Eskandarian HA, Lim HC, Kieser KJ, Wagner JC, McKinney JD, Fantner GE, Ioerger TR, Walker S, Bernhardt TG, Rubin EJ, H RE (2018) Maturing Mycobacterium smegmatis peptidoglycan requires non-canonical crosslinks to maintain shape. Elife p e37516
- 42. Fu Y, Waldor M, Mekalanos J (2013) Tn-seq analysis of vibrio cholerae intestinal colonization
  reveals a role for t6ss-mediated antibacterial activity in the host. Cell Host Microbe 14(6):652–
  63
- 43. Dragset MS, Ioerger TR, Loevenich M, Haug M, Sivakumar N, Marstad A, Cardona PJ,
  Klinkenberg G, Rubin EJ, Steigedal M, Flo TH (2019) Global assessment of Mycobacterium
  avium subsp. hominissuis genetic requirement for growth and virulence. mSystems pp e00402–
  19
- 44. DeJesus MA, Gerrick ER, Xu W, Park SW, Long JE, Boutte CC, Rubin EJ, Schnappinger D,
  Ehrt S, Fortune SM, Sassetti CM, Ioerger TR (2017) Comprehensive Essentiality Analysis of
  the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Genome via Saturating Transposon Mutagenesis. MBio 8(1)
- 45. Lampe DJ, Grant TE, M RH (1998) Factors affecting transposition of the Himar1 mariner
   transposon in vitro. Genetics 149(1):179–87

46. Ason B, Reznikoff WS (2004) DNA sequence bias during Tn5 transposition. Journal of Molec ular Biology 335:1213–1225

47. Chao MC, Pritchard JR, Zhang YJ, Rubin EJ, Livny J, Davis BM, Waldor MK (2013) Highresolution definition of the Vibrio cholerae essential gene set with hidden Markov model-based
analyses of transposon-insertion sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 41(19):9033–9048

- 48. Pickands J (1975) Statistical inference using extreme order statistics. Annals of Statistics 3:119–
   131
- 49. Warr AR, Hubbard TP, Munera D, Blondel CJ, zur Wiesch PA, Abel S, Wang X, Davis BM,
   Waldor MK (2019) Transposon-insertion sequencing screens unveil requirements for ehec growth
   and intestinal colonization. PLoS Pathogens 15(8):e1007652
- 50. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D (2005) False discovery rate controlling confidence intervals for selected parameters. Journal of the American Statistical Association 100(469):71–81